

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/508,861	. 09/24/2004	Satoshi Kobayashi	Q83700	8702
	23373 7590 10/22/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC		EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.V			<b>'</b> .	YAMNITZKY, MARIE ROSE	
	SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1794	
				NAME DATE	DELIVERYAGES
			·*	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				10/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/508,861	KOBAYASHI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Marie R. Yamnitzky	1794			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 August 2007</u> .					
	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 3 and 10-20 is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-9 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	thdrawn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>						
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachmen	rit(s)					
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 24 Sep 2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Date			

1. Applicant's election without traverse in the reply filed on August 24, 2007 is acknowledged. Applicant elects species (A)(iv), a non-polymeric metal complex represented by formula (3) wherein L<sup>1</sup> is a ligand represented by formula (5) wherein D is a divalent group derived from a conjugate system. Claims 1, 2 and 4-9 read on the elected species.

Applicant selects Complex B, as represented by the formula shown on page 81 of the specification, as the ultimate species.

Claims 3 and 10-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on August 24, 2007.

- 2. The prior art does not disclose or suggest the ultimate species selected by applicant (Complex B). More broadly, the only prior art found with respect to applicant's elected species (A)(iv) is prior art that is available based on a date that is between present applicant's foreign priority date and the PCT filing date. Since rejections based on this prior art may be overcome by perfecting the claim for foreign priority, the examiner has extended the search beyond the elected species. However, this action does not represent an examination on the merits of all species within the scope of the rejected claims.
- 3. Claims 1, 2 and 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/508,861 Page 3

Art Unit: 1794

The limitations of claim 1 are not clear. The language in the first three lines of claim 1 implies that each of formula (1) and formula (2) represents a metal complex, but neither formula defines a metal complex.

The limitations imposed by the requirement for "a monovalent group having a metal complex structure" as recited in the first two lines of claim 1 are also not clear.

Claim 2 and claims dependent therefrom are incomplete because claim 2 recites "A, R<sup>1</sup> to R<sup>3</sup>, a, and b are the same as those of the above formula (1)" and "D, R<sup>4</sup>, R<sup>5</sup>, c, and d are the same as those of the above formula (2)", but formula (1), formula (2) and the variables therein are not defined in claim 2, which is an independent claim.

Claim 7 is further incomplete in reciting "the above formula (1) or formula (2)" in defining R<sup>6</sup> to R<sup>13</sup> and R<sup>14</sup> to R<sup>19</sup>. Formula (1) and formula (2) are not shown/defined in claim 7, or in independent claim 2, from which claim 7 depends.

- 4. For purposes of comparing to the prior art, claim 1 is interpreted as encompassing any compound, polymeric or non-polymeric, that comprises a metal complex having a group represented by formula (1) or formula (2) directly or indirectly attached to the metal complex.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1794

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1, 2, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Robinson et al. in *Chem. Commun.* 2000, pp. 1645-1646.

The europium complex of the formula shown in Scheme 1 on page 1645 is a metal complex represented by formula (3) as defined in present claim 2 wherein L<sup>1</sup> is a ligand represented by present formula (4) wherein Ar<sup>1</sup> represents a residue of a ligand which bonds to M<sup>1</sup> by oxygen atoms, and A is a single bond. This prior art complex meets the limitations of a complex as claimed in present claims 1, 2 and 8, and is disclosed for use in an organic electroluminescent device of the structure set forth in present claim 9.

The devices described in the paragraph bridging pages 1645 and 1646 and in the second full paragraph on page 1646 meet the limitations of the device of present claim 9.

7. Claims 1, 2 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kamatani et al. (US 6,953,628 B2).

The 102(e) date for this reference is February 12, 2002.

Kamatani et al. provide two specific examples of iridium complexes represented by formula (3) as defined in present claim 2 wherein L<sup>1</sup> is a ligand represented by present formula (5) wherein Ar<sup>2</sup> is a bidentate ligand represented by formula (6) as defined in present claim 7 and D is a single bond. See Nos. 36 and 38 as defined in Table 1-1 (columns 15-16) of the patent,

Art Unit: 1794

and see the first two formulae in claim 1 of the patent. These two prior art complexes meet the limitations of a complex as claimed in present claims 1, 2 and 5-8, and are disclosed for use in an organic electroluminescent device of the structure set forth in present claim 9.

The device as claimed in patent claim 1 wherein the second organic compound is a compound represented by either of the first two formulae in patent claim 1 meets the limitations of the device of present claim 9.

8. Claims 1, 2 and 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lo et al. (US 2005/0116622 A1).

The 102(e) date for this reference is March 18, 2003.

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Lo et al. provide specific examples of iridium complexes represented by formula (3) as defined in present claim 2 wherein L<sup>1</sup> is a ligand represented by present formula (5) wherein Ar<sup>2</sup> is a bidentate ligand represented by formula (6) as defined in present claim 7 and D is a single bond or a divalent group derived from conjugate system. See formula 5 in Figure 1, formula 7 in Figure 4, formula 25 in Figure 5, and Examples 11, 12 and 15-17 (pages 9-11). The complexes of Examples 11 and 15 meet the limitations of a complex as claimed in present claims 1, 2 and 5-8 wherein D is a single bond. The complex of Example 12 meets the limitations of a complex as claimed in present claims 1, 2 and 4-8 wherein D is a divalent group derived from conjugate

Application/Control Number: 10/508,861

Art Unit: 1794

system. These complexes are disclosed for use in an organic electroluminescent device of the

structure set forth in present claim 9.

The devices of Lo's Example 17 meet the limitations of the device of present claim 9.

9. Miscellaneous:

Claims 1, 2 and 7 are not in the form of a single sentence. These claims must be

rewritten in the form of a single sentence.

10. The information disclosure statement filed September 24, 2004 fails to comply with 37

CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-

patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information

or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the

information referred to therein has not been considered, with the exception of the references

listed on the PTO-892 that accompanies this Office action. (US 2004/0247934 A1 is the US

equivalent of WO 2003/001616; US 7,094,897 B2 is the US equivalent of WO 02/081488.)

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Marie R. Yamnitzky at telephone number (571) 272-1531. The examiner works a flexible schedule but can generally be

reached at this number from 7:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. Monday-Friday.

The current fax number for all official faxes is (571) 273-8300. (Unofficial faxes to be

sent directly to examiner Yamnitzky can be sent to (571) 273-1531.)

MRY

October 15, 2007

MARIE YAMNITZKY
PRIMARY FXAMINER

Marie R. Gammitefy

Page 6

1794